United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington Magistrate Judge James A. Goeke Richland

USA v. RUBEN GALVAN-TRUJILLO

Case No. 4:22-CR-6041-MKD-1

Richland Video Conference (JAG @ Spokane; Counsel and Defendant @ Richland)

The Defendant agreed to appear via video conference.

Arraignment/Initial Appearance on Indictment and Detention: 11/21/2022

\boxtimes	Sara Gore, Courtroom Deputy [R]	\boxtimes	Caitlin Baunsgard, US Atty [S]
\boxtimes	Melissa Orosco, Courtroom Deputy [S]	\boxtimes	Marcel Stewart, PHV Defense Atty [R]
\boxtimes	Erica Helms, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer (tele)	\boxtimes	David Partovi, Local Defense Atty [S]
	Defendant present ⊠ in custody USM		Interpreter – Natalia Rivera (video)
\boxtimes	USA Motion for Detention	\boxtimes	Rights given
	USA not seeking detention	\boxtimes	Acknowledgment of Rights filed
	Financial Affidavit (CJA 23) filed	\boxtimes	Defendant received copy of charging document
\boxtimes	Defendant has retained his own counsel	\boxtimes	Defendant waived reading of charging document
	Based upon conflict with Federal Defenders, the Court will appoint a CJA Panel Attorney		Charging document read in open court
	PRE-Trial Services Report ordered		POST Pre-Trial Services Report ordered
			AO199c Advice of Penalties & Sanctions filed

REMARKS

Arraignment/Initial Appearance on Indictment:

Defendant appeared and was assisted by counsel and advised of his rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

The Defendant acknowledged to the Court that his true and correct name is: Ruben Galvan-Trujillo.

"Not guilty" plea entered.

Discovery to be provided pursuant to the local rule on discovery.

Detention Hearing:

USA proffered the pretrial services report and does not concur with its recommendation of continued detention of the Defendant.

USA argued why the Court should detain Defendant and why there are no conditions of release which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance as required and/or the safety of the community. USA argues the gravity of this Defendant in which he is considered a big fish. USA argues recorded calls regarding trafficking, many crossings at the border in which he was arrested on this warrant. USA has concerns of the Defendant's ties to Mexico. If released on bond, the USA will be asking for a Nebbia hearing.

FTR/R-326 Time: 11:51 a.m. - 12:37 p.m. Page 1

Defense counsel argued why the Defendant should be released. Defense counsel argues Defendant has been a resident for over 27 years and has raised his family in the states. The Defendants son just moved to the Tri-cities and will have an apartment on Wednesday in which the Defendant could be released to. Defendant has many family members in the Washington area. Defendant files his taxes and has owned his own landscaping business in Los Angeles. Defense counsel argues that two separate probation officers have recommended conditions of release with bond.

Colloquy between Court and counsel regarding pretrial services having this additional information.

Rebuttal argument by USA. Rebuttal argument by Defense counsel.

The Court ordered:

- 1. Court to take the matter under advisement.
- 2. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.
- 3. As required by Rule 5(f), the United States is ordered to produce all information required by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny. Not doing so in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, and contempt proceedings. Order forthcoming.

FTR/R-326 Time: 11:51 a.m. - 12:37 p.m. Page 2